UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,942	02/08/2005	Giuseppe De'Longhi	23192	3137	
The firm of Ka	7590 04/19/2007 rl F Ross		EXAM	INER	
5676 Riverdale Ave			FASTOVSKY	FASTOVSKY, LEONID M	
Box 900 Riverdale(Bronx), NY 10471			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3742		
<u></u>					
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/19/2007	DADED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
Office Action Summers	10/524,942	DE'LONGHI, GIUSEPPE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Leonid M. Fastovsky	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	P. Fohrunn, 2005						
,	,						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
, closed in accordance with the practice unde	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicati	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 February 2005</u> is/		d to by the Evaminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
		7.0017 01 101117 1 10 102.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreia) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
 ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr							
application from the International Bure		•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
AMarkan and A							
Attachment(s)							
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20050208</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/524,942

Art Unit: 3742

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains an extraneous word "comprises". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4-5, 7-9, 12-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claims 4 and 5 recite the limitation "said support frame" in lines 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.
- 6. Claim 5 recites the limitation "said first members" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 7 recites the limitation "said second members" in 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Claims 8 and 9 recite the limitation "1st and 2nd members" in 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,942

Art Unit: 3742

9. Claims 12 and 13 recite the limitation "said control means" in 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

10. Claim 15 recites the limitation "procedure" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

12. Claims 1-4, 6- 9, 11-12 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dadson (2,174,079).

Since applicant broadly claims his invention by claiming "baking or heating chambers" Examiner takes a position of a broad interpretation of the claims, and therefore any electric oven is capable of so perform.

Dadson teaches an electric oven 10 comprising a box-shaped body, a heater unit 13 that radiates heat, two chambers, baking 16 and broiling 17, and a baffle means 22 to direct radiation towards the baking chamber 16, the baffle comprises an elongated member that are connected to a support frame 14 and define seats where the heater unit is located, and the support frame 14 allows heat transfer by convection between two chambers 16 and 17.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,942

Art Unit: 3742

As to claims 6-8, Dadson teaches a second member 59 arranged above the heating unit 13 and hinders the radiation towards the upper portion of the body, and the second member 59 made of bars.

As to claim 9, Dadson teaches that the 1st member made of bent sheet.

As to claims 11-12, Dadson teaches a temperature responsive bulb 21 forming a part of a thermostatic oven, the thermostat inherently the heater switches on and off, therefore he meets limitations of the claims (col. 2, lines 50-55).

As to claims 14 and 15, Dadson meets limitations of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dadson in view of Lockwood (2,112,035).

Dadson discloses substantially the claimed invention including the thermostatic oven, but does not teach a bimetallic thermostat and some portions of the heater being cold. Lockwood discloses an oven thermostatic switch 29 having a bimetallic disk 55. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Dadson's invention to include a bimetallic switch as taught by Lockwood in order to increase utilities of the oven, and also remain some end portions of the heater cold as necessary that will be

Art Unit: 3742

determined and adapted by the user having a desired application in mind since applicant has not disclose any specific structure that will provide such an effect.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M. Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Philip Leung can be reached on 571-272-4781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leonid M Fastovsk

Examiner

Art Unit 3742

Imf .